

# The two-variable gaussian distribution model

Susan Thomas  
IGIDR, Bombay

18 September, 2008

- MLE for single variable data, one-parameter distribution (birth data, Bernoulli)
- MLE for single variable data, two-parameter distribution (wage data, log-normal/normal)
- MLE for two-variable data, one-parameter conditional distribution, explained by multiple parameters (workforce participation-education, Bernoulli with logit transformation)

# The two-variable regression model

- Variable to model: wages. Data: weekly wages, in USD.
- Additional information: education, in number of years.
- What we want to estimate: the expected wage – conditional on education.

- As with workforce participation, we check whether the conditional behaviour of  $\log(\text{wages})$   $y$  is different from the unconditional behaviour.
- Unlike workforce participation, the comparison is done on the conditional density distribution of  $y$ .
- Observed: the median of  $y$  conditional on education increases with length of schooling.
- Conditional variance varies less with length of schooling: the range of values of  $y$  conditional on schooling does not change.
- *Suggested model*:  $y$  with varying conditional expectation but with unconditional variance.

# The model

- Transform wages  $W$  to  $\log(\text{wages})$   $w$  – lognormal  $\rightarrow$  normal
- Model for  $w$ :
  - 1  $(X, y)$  pairs are independent
  - 2 Variable  $X$  is exogenous
  - 3 Conditional normality -
    - 1  $E(y|X = X_i) = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i)$ ,
    - 2 Variance is unconditional,  $\sigma^2$ , not  $\sigma_i^2 = f(X_i)$ .
- Model:  $E(y|X = X_i) \sim N((\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i), \sigma^2)$
- Model parameter space:  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2$
- $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i$

# Model parameter interpretation

- $\beta_0$  is  $E(y)$  with no schooling.
- $\beta_1$  is the marginal increase in  $E(y)$  with one more year of schooling.

Unlike the logit model, where  $\beta_1$  was the log(odds) ratio – marginal increase in the log(odds) of workforce participation.

- What is the unconditional  $E(y)$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}E(y|X_j) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_j \\E(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^J (\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_j) f(X_j) \\&= \beta_0 \times 1 + \beta_1 \sum_{j=0}^J X_j f(X_j) \\&= \beta_0 + \beta_1 E(X)\end{aligned}$$

- Syntax:  $\beta_0, \beta_1$  are called “regression coefficients”

# Setting up the log(likelihood) for estimation

- Since  $y$  is conditionally gaussian distributed:

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2}(y_1, \dots, y_N | X_1, \dots, X_N) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - E(y_i))^2}{\sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{\sigma^2}}\end{aligned}$$

- Using this, we set up the  $L$  and the  $\log(L)$ ,  $l$  as:

$$\begin{aligned}L &= \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{\sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)^N}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{\sigma^2}} \\ l &= -\frac{N}{2} \ln \sqrt{(2\pi\sigma^2)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2}{\sigma^2}\end{aligned}$$

# Maximising $L$ , minimising $l$

- The optimisation now involves *three* parameters:  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2$ . This gives three equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} l = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} l = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i) X_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} l = -\frac{N}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2$$

- Set these to zero, and we get three equations to solve for  $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2)$ .



# Solution for $\beta_0, \beta_1$

- We see that the equation for  $\sigma^2$  involves both  $\beta_0, \beta_1$ .
- Equations for  $\beta_0, \beta_1$  do not have  $\sigma^2$ , so we solve for them first.
- Solution for  $\beta_0$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} l = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i) - N\hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i) = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}$$

# Solution for $\beta_1$

- Solve for the  $\beta_1$  using

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} l = -2 \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i) X_i = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i X_i - \bar{X}(\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}))$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \left( \sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2 \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i X_i - \bar{X} \bar{y})$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i X_i - N \bar{X} \bar{y}}{\sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 - \bar{X}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\text{cov}(\hat{Xy})}{\hat{s}_X^2}$$

$$\text{And, } \hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \bar{X} \frac{\text{cov}(\hat{Xy})}{\hat{s}_X^2}$$

# Sample correlation between $y$ , $X$ , $r_{(X,Y)}$

- We see MLE for the regression coefficients is a function of the sample correlation between the dependent variable  $y$  and the independent variable  $X$ .

- Sample correlation, 
$$r_{(X,Y)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}}$$

- This has properties:

- 1 It is free of the unit of  $X$ ,  $Y$ .

Linear transformations of  $X$ ,  $Y$  does not affect the value of

$r_{(X,Y)}$ . Eg.,  $r_{(X,Y)} = r_{(aX,b+cY)}$

Non-linear transformations of  $X$ ,  $Y$  do affect the value of

$r_{(X,Y)}$  Eg.,  $r_{(X,Y)} \neq r_{(\log X, Y)}$

- 2  $r_{(X,Y)} = 0$  if the sample covariance is zero.

- 3  $r_{(X,Y)}$  takes values between -1 and +1.

If  $Y_i = a + bX_i$ , then  $r_{(X,Y)} = 1$

# Population correlation between $y$ , $X$ , $\rho_{(X,Y)}$

- $\rho_{(X,Y)} = \frac{E((X-\mu_X)(Y-\mu_Y))}{\sqrt{E(X-\mu_X)^2 E(Y-\mu_Y)^2}}$
- It has the same properties as  $r_{(X,Y)}$ :
  - invariance across linear transformations,
  - $\rho_{(X,Y)} = 0$  if  $X$ ,  $Y$  are uncorrelated,
  - $\rho_{(X,Y)}^2 \leq 1$  unless  $Y = a + bX$  in which case it  $\rho_{(X,Y)} = 1$ .

- The model clearly specifies the flow of the relationship between  $X$ ,  $Y$ .
- However, consider the following pair of equations:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

$$X_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Y_i + \eta_i$$

- We know  $r_{X,Y} = r_{Y,X}$   
Then,  $r_{(X,Y)}^2 = \hat{\beta}_1 \hat{\gamma}_1$ .
- When  $\sigma_x = \sigma_y$ , then  $\hat{\beta}_1 = r_{X,Y} = r_{Y,X} = \hat{\gamma}_1$ .

# Example with data

- $\sum_{i=1}^N X_i = 48943$
- $\sum_{i=1}^N y_i = 19460.1$
- $\sum_{i=1}^N N = 3877$
- $\sum_{i=1}^N X_i^2 = 645663$
- $\sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2 = 99876$
- $\sum_{i=1}^N y_i X_i = 247775$
- What is  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma^2$ ?

# Example with data

- $\hat{\beta}_0 = 4.06$
- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.076$
- $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 0.526$

- To understand the relationship between  $r_{(X,Y)}$  and MLE  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ , we need to understand the relationship between  $X$  and  $Y$  better.
- Consider a new form of the  $X, Y$  relationship:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 X_{0,i} + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \epsilon_i$$

where  $X_{0,i} = 1$  and  $X_{1,i}$  is the following regression equation

$$X_i = \gamma_1 \mathbf{1} + \omega_i = \gamma_1 X_{0,i} + \omega_i$$



# Interpreting equation: $X_i = \gamma_1 \mathbf{1} + \epsilon_i$

- $X_i$  is a continuous rv that can take any value.
- $\epsilon_i$  is gaussian distributed.
- The MLE  $\hat{\gamma}_1$  will be:

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i = \bar{X}$$

- Thus, this regression equation gives you the value of the sample mean of the dependent variable as the regression coefficient,  $\gamma_1$ .

# Reparameterised model $Y_i | X_i$

- We can then rewrite  $Y_i = \beta_0 X_{0,i} + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \epsilon_i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \beta_0 X_{0,i} + \beta_1 (\bar{X} X_{0,i} + (X_i - \bar{X})) + \epsilon_i \\ &= (\beta_0 + \beta_1 \bar{X}) X_{0,i} + \beta_1 (X_i - \bar{X}) + \epsilon_i \\ &= \delta_0 X_{0,i} + \delta_1 (X_i - \bar{X}) + \epsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

- This is a useful reparameterisation because  $X_{0,i}$ ,  $(X_i - \bar{X})$  are orthogonal to each other (by definition).
- Two outcomes:

$$\sum_{i=0}^N (X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N X_{0,i} (X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$$

- Differentiating / wrt  $\delta_0$  gives:

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \delta_0 - \delta_1(X_i - \bar{X})) = 0$$

Solution:  $\hat{\delta}_0 = \bar{Y}$

- Differentiating / wrt  $\delta_1$  gives:

$$-2 \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \delta_0 - \delta_1(X_i - \bar{X}))(X_i - \bar{X}) = 0$$

Solution:  $\hat{\delta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i(X_i - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$

$$\hat{\delta}_1 = \hat{\beta}_1!$$

MLE estimates are the same across rescaling of data.

# Link 1 between $r_{(X,Y)}$ and MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$

- We found out that:

$$\hat{Y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 (X_i - \bar{X})$$

- Or  $\beta_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N Y_i (X_i - \bar{X})}{\sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X})^2}$
- Using the above equality of model and reparameterised model, we calculate that:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 == (1 - r^2) \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

## Link 2 between $r_{(X,Y)}$ and MLE $\hat{\sigma}^2$

- The one-variable model is a restriction on the model  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$  where the restriction is  $\beta_1 = 0$ .
- Variance of the one-variable model is  $\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \hat{\sigma}_R^2$ .
- Variance of the error from the unrestricted model is  $\sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X_i)^2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$
- The ratio is:

$$\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_R^2} = (1 - r^2)$$

- The variance of the restricted model can be rewritten as:

$$n\sigma_R^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N ((Y_i - \hat{Y}_i) + (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y}))^2$$

where  $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\delta}_0 + \hat{\delta}_1(X_i - \bar{X})$

- This collapses to:

$$n\sigma_R^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

- The first term is the *residual sum of squared* or RSS  
 $= n\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\epsilon}_i^2$
- The second term is the *explained sum of squares* or ESS
- $\sigma_R^2$  is called the *total sum of squares* or TSS.
- Sample correlation,  $r_{(X,Y)}^2$  is:

$$r_{(X,Y)}^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{RSS}}{\text{TSS}} = \frac{\text{ESS}}{\text{TSS}}$$