

# Inference in the multiple regression model

Susan Thomas  
IGIDR, Bombay

3 November, 2008

# Recap: a three-variable regression model

- $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i} + u_i$ 
  - Independence:  $Y_i, X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}$  are independent across  $i$
  - Normality of  $Y_i$  conditional on  $X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}$ :  
 $Y_i \sim N[\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i}, \sigma^2]$
  - $X_{1,i}, X_{2,i}$  is exogenous
- Parameters:  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \sigma^2$
- Reparameterised model:  $Y_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 X_{1.0,i} + \delta_2 X_{2.0.1,i} + u_i$   
Parameters:  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \sigma^2$

# Solution space for coefficients

- 2-variables –  $\delta_1 = \frac{\sum_i Y_i X_{1.0,i}}{\sum_i X_{1.0,i}^2}$   
which is related to the correlation between  $Y, X_1$ .
- 3-variable model –  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ :

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\delta}_2 = \frac{\sum_i Y_i X_{2.0.1,i}}{\sum_i X_{2.0.1,i}^2}$$

which is related to the partial correlation between  $Y, X_{2.0.1}$ , where  $X_{2.0.1}$  is  $X_2$  net of the effects of  $X_0, X_1$ .

- New concept: partial correlation.

$$r_{y.x_1,x_2} = \frac{r_{y,x_2} - r_{y,x_1} * r_{x_1,x_2}}{\sqrt{(1 - r_{y,x_1}^2)(1 - r_{x_1,x_2}^2)}}$$

- New aspect of the estimation problem: Collinearity. If  $r_{x_1,x_2}^2 = 1$ , then  $\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{\delta}_2$  cannot be estimated.

# Solution space for $\hat{\beta}_0$

- 2–variables:  $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}_1$
- 3–variables:  $\hat{\delta}_0 = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{X}_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{X}_2$
- **HW:** Work out what is  $\hat{\beta}_0$  in terms of  $\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \bar{X}_1, \bar{X}_2, \bar{Y}$ .

# Solution space for $\hat{\sigma}^2$

- 2-variables:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \epsilon_i$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_i \hat{\epsilon}_i^2}{N-2}$$

- 3-variables:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u_i$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sum_i \hat{u}_i^2}{N-3}$$

# Inference for $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + \beta_2 X_{2,i} + U_i$

- 1 Test the estimated coefficient  $\hat{\beta}_i$  against  $H_0$  individually.  
Distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_i$  is  $N(\beta_i, \sigma_i^2)$ .
- 2 Test whether the model is significant. Benchmark model:  
 $Y_i = \beta_0 (= \bar{Y}) + \epsilon_i$   
Tests used: LR test,  $R^2$  (F-distribution)
- 3 Test sets of coefficients jointly. For example,  
 $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2, H_A : \beta_1 \neq \beta_2$   
Tests used: LR test after *reparameterising the model* and re-estimating it.

# Testing single coefficient estimates

# Distribution of $\hat{\beta}_1$

- $\beta_1 = \frac{\sum_i Y_i X_{1.0.2,i}}{\sum_i X_{1.0.2,i}^2}$
- By expanding  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u_i$ , it can be shown that

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \frac{\sum_i X_{1.0.2,i} u_i}{\sum_i X_{1.0.2,i}^2}$$

- 1  $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$
- 2  $\text{var}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \sigma_u^2 / \sum_i X_{1.0.2,i}^2$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma_u^2}{\sum_i X_{1.0.2,i}^2}\right)$$

- 2-variable model:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \sim N\left(\beta_1, \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\sum_i X_{1.0,i}^2}\right)$$

- With the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_i$  we can test  $H_0$  for  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .



What is the distribution for the estimator for  $\beta_0$ ?

## Link between $\beta_j$ and $\delta_j$

- Model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u_i$
- Reparameterised model:  $Y_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 X_{1.0,i} + \delta_2 X_{2.0.1,i} + u_i$
- $\text{cov}(\delta_0, \delta_1) = \text{cov}(\delta_0, \delta_2) = \text{cov}(\delta_1, \delta_2) = 0$
- $\text{cov}(\beta_2, \beta_1) = \frac{-\sigma_u^2 r_{2,1.0}}{(1-r_{2,1.0}^2) \sqrt{\sum_i X_{2.0,i}^2 \sum_i X_{1.0,i}^2}}$
- Again: if  $r_{2,1.0} \sim 1$ , then  $(1 - r_{2,1.0}^2) \sim 0$  and the estimator variances will be very large.

# Testing the overall model

# The form of the Likelihood Ratio (LR) test

- The LR test takes the form:

$$-2 \log Q = -2 \log(L_{\text{restricted}}/L_{\text{unrestricted}})^{-\frac{N}{2}} = -N \log \left( \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_R^2} \right) \sim \chi^2[m]$$

where  $m$  is the number of restrictions.

- For example, if we want to test  $H_0 : \beta_2 = 0$ , then
  - $\sigma_R^2 = \sigma_w^2$  from model  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + w_i$
  - $\sigma_U^2 = \sigma_u^2$  from model  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u_i$  and the test statistic is:
  - $\chi^2[1]$

- $R^2 = \frac{ESS}{TSS}$
- $N\sigma_u^2 = RSS = TSS - ESS = (1 - R^2) TSS$
- In 2-variable model:  $R^2 = r_{Y,X_1}^2$
- In 3-variable model:

$$\begin{aligned} N\sigma_u^2 &= (1 - r_{Y,X_{2.0.1}}^2)(1 - r_{Y,X_{1.0}}^2) TSS \\ (1 - R^2) &= (1 - r_{Y,X_{2.0.1}}^2)(1 - r_{Y,X_{1.0}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

- **Note:** for  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + w_i$ , RSS is

$$N\sigma_w^2 = (1 - r_{Y,X_{1.0}}^2) TSS$$

# LR, $R^2$ for restriction $\beta_2 = 0$

- Restricted model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + w_i$   
 $\sigma_R^2 = \sigma_w^2 = (1 - r_{Y, X_{1.0}}^2) \text{TSS}$
- $LR = -N \log \left( \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_R^2} \right) \sim \chi^2[m = 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} LR_{\beta_2=0} &= -N \frac{(1 - r_{Y, X_{2.0.1}}^2)(1 - r_{Y, X_{1.0}}^2) \text{TSS}}{(1 - r_{Y, X_{1.0}}^2) \text{TSS}} \\ &= -N(1 - r_{Y, X_{2.0.1}}^2) \sim \chi^2[1] \end{aligned}$$

# LR, $R^2$ for restriction $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$

- Restricted model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \epsilon_i$   
 $\sigma_R^2 = \sigma_\epsilon^2 = \text{TSS}$
- $\text{LR} = -N \log \left( \frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_R^2} \right) \sim \chi^2[m = 2]$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LR}_{\beta_1=\beta_2=0} &= -N \frac{(1 - r_{Y, X_{2.0.1}}^2)(1 - r_{Y, X_{1.0}}^2) \text{TSS}}{\text{TSS}} \\ &= -N(1 - R^2) \sim \chi^2[2] \end{aligned}$$

# Some assumptions in the above tests

- The test for  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  is done as if:
  - ① Test for  $\beta_1 = 0$  **given that**  $\beta_2$  has been shown to be 0.

$$\text{LR}_{\beta_2=\beta_1=0} = \text{LR}_{\beta_2=0} + \text{LR}_{\beta_1=0|\beta_2=0}$$

- ② Therefore, the assumption is that these models are “correct” when we do a joint test.  
It may not be so: topic of “misspecification of models” while accepting/rejecting null hypothesis about the model.
- Within this framework, the most robust test is to do with testing the significance of any one coefficient.



# Further tests of parameters

# Linear hypothesis with $> 1$ parameter

- For example:  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2$
- Here, the degrees of freedom is 1 for the test, but there are two parameters involved.
- Such tests are done by reparameterising the model to reflect the restriction.
- For  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_2$  in model:  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + u_i$ .  
Reparameterised model:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= \beta_0 + \beta_1(X_1 + X_2) + (\beta_2 - \beta_1)X_2 + u_i \\ &= \delta_0 + \delta_1 Z_1 + \delta_2 Z_2 + u_i \end{aligned}$$

Test:  $H_0 : \delta_2 = 0$ .

- The framework of the LR test statistic remains the same, except that  $m = 1$ .

# Test whether the model is better as $H_0 : \beta_1 = 1$

- Test with the LR framework and the hypothesis set as a model restriction.
- This also starts with reparameterising the model as .

$$\begin{aligned}Y_i - X_{2,i} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1,i} + (\beta_2 - 1) X_{2,i} + u_i \\T_i &= \delta_0 + \delta_1 Z_1 + \delta_2 Z_2 + u_i\end{aligned}$$

Again:  $H_0 : \delta_2 = 0$ .

- The framework of the LR test statistic remains the same, except that  $m = 1$ .

- Work through the examples on Pages 116 and 117, in “Econometric Modeling” by Hendry and Nielsen.
- Work through Chapter 8, in the same book