More about AR/MA

Susan Thomas

September 4, 2009

Susan Thomas More about AR/MA

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

- Recap: AR, MA, AR(1), MA(1) models, stationarity.
- Violating stationarity conditions for AR(1)
- Invertibility of MA processes.
- Forecasting from AR/MA processes.

く 同 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

3



Simplest process: white noise

$$x_t = \epsilon_t; \quad \epsilon_t \sim D(\alpha_0, \sigma^2)$$

- First line of stochastic models: linear stationary models.
 - AutoRegressive process:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \alpha_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \alpha_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-2} + \ldots + \alpha_p \mathbf{y}_{t-p} + \epsilon_t$$

where $\epsilon_t \sim w.n$ with $\mu_{\epsilon} = 0$.

Moving Average process:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \ldots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q} + \epsilon_t$$

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

3

where $\epsilon_t \sim$ w.n. with $\mu_{\epsilon} = 0$.

Conditions on AR models

Susan Thomas More about AR/MA

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ のへで

Example of AR model: AR(1)

•
$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

•
$$\mathsf{E}(y_t|y_{t-1},\ldots,y_0) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y_{t-1}$$

•
$$E(y_t) = \alpha_0/(1 - \alpha_1)$$

• Variance:
$$\sigma_y^2 = \alpha_0 \sigma^2 / (1 - \alpha_1)$$

- Covariance (at lag s): $\alpha_1^s \sigma_y^2$
- Correlation (at lag s): α^s₁
- Stationarity conditions on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 : -1 < \alpha_1 < 1$

・ロト ・聞 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

= 990

Stationarity conditions for an AR(2) process

Susan Thomas More about AR/MA

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

∃ 𝒫𝔅

•
$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{a} + \phi_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \phi_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-2} + \epsilon_t$$

•
$$E(y_t) = \mu = a/(1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2)$$

 Calculating autocovariance structures become simpler when the equation is re-organised as follows:

$$y_{t} = \mu(1 - \phi_{1} - \phi_{2}) + \phi_{1}y_{t-1} + \phi_{2}y_{t-2} + \epsilon_{t}$$

$$y_{t} - \mu = \phi_{1}(y_{t-1} - \mu) + \phi_{2}(y_{t-2} - \mu) + \epsilon_{t}$$

$$E(y_{t} - \mu)(y_{t-i} - \mu) = \phi_{1}E(y_{t-1} - \mu)(y_{t-i} - \mu) + \phi_{2}E(y_{t-2} - \mu)(y_{t-i} - \mu) + E(\epsilon_{t}(y_{t-i} - \mu))$$

$$giving \rightarrow \gamma_{i} = \phi_{1}\gamma_{i-1} + \phi_{2}\gamma_{i-2} \quad \forall i \neq 0$$

• Using this structure, calculate $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$.

Autocovariance structure of the AR(2) process

The $\gamma_i = \phi_1 \gamma_{i-1} + \phi_2 \gamma_{i-2}$ structure of the autocovariances has special cases: i = (0, 1).

• When
$$i = 0, \gamma_0 = \sigma_y^2 = \phi_1 \gamma_1 + \phi_2 \gamma_2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2$$

• When
$$i = 1$$
, $\gamma_1 = \phi_1 \gamma_0 + \phi_2 \gamma_1$, and $\gamma_1 = \frac{\phi_1}{1 - \phi_2} \gamma_0$

We use both to calculate γ_0 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{0} &= \phi_{1}\gamma_{1} + \phi_{2}\gamma_{2} + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \\ \gamma_{0} &= \frac{\phi_{1}^{2}}{1 - \phi_{2}}\gamma_{0} + \frac{\phi_{2}(\phi_{1}^{2} + \phi_{2}(1 - \phi_{2}))}{1 - \phi_{2}}\gamma_{0} + \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \\ \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} &= \frac{(1 - \phi_{2}) - \phi_{1}^{2} - \phi_{1}^{2}\phi_{2} - \phi_{2}^{2}(1 - \phi_{2})}{1 - \phi_{2}}\gamma_{0} \\ \gamma_{0} &= \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{1 - \phi_{1}^{2} - \phi_{2}^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Autocovariances for the AR(2) process

_ (

•
$$\gamma_1 = E(y_t - \mu)(y_{t-1} - \mu)$$

 $\gamma_1 = \frac{\phi_1}{(1 - \phi_2)(1 - \phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2)}\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$
• $\gamma_2 = E(y_t - \mu)(y_{t-2} - \mu)$
 $\gamma_2 = \phi_1\gamma_1 + \phi_2\gamma_2 = \frac{\phi_1^2 + \phi_2(1 - \phi_1)}{(1 - \phi_2)(1 - \phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2)}\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$
• $\gamma_3 = E(y_t - \mu)(y_{t-3} - \mu)$
 $\gamma_3 = \phi_1\gamma_2 + \phi_2\gamma_1 = \frac{\phi_1^3 + \phi_1\phi_2(2 - \phi_1)}{(1 - \phi_1)(1 - \phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2)}\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・

Stationarity conditions for the AR(2) model

- $E(y_t) = \frac{a}{1-\phi_1-\phi_2}$ For this to be a constant, $(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ must not be 1.
- From the form of $E(y_t \mu)^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 \phi_1^2 \phi_2^2}$, it is not clear what the binding conditions on (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) for stationarity are.
- We go back to stability conditions for the difference equation:

$$(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2) y_t = \epsilon_t$$

• The stability condition of the AR(2) processes depends upon the roots of $(1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)$.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほとう

$$y_t = a + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \phi_3 y_{t-3} + \epsilon_t$$

- What is the autocovariance structure of this process?
- What are the stationarity conditions for this?

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

3

Violating the stationarity condition for an AR(1) model

Susan Thomas More about AR/MA

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

2

What happens when $\alpha_1 = 1$ in AR(1) model?

•
$$\mathbf{y}_t = \alpha_0 + \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$(1-L)y_t = \epsilon_t; y_t = \frac{\epsilon_t}{(1-L)} = \epsilon_t \sum_{i=0}^T L^i$$

- $E(y_t) = 0$
- Variance, $\sigma_y^2 = \sum_{i=0}^T \sigma^2 = T\sigma^2$ This is a increasing function in T – as the series gets larger, the variance becomes larger. Therefore, it is a non-stationary process.
- Covariance, $E(y_t y_{t-i}) = E(\epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t-2} + \ldots + \epsilon_0)(\epsilon_{t-i} + \epsilon_{t-i-1} + \epsilon_{t-i-2} + \ldots + \epsilon_0)$ $E(y_t y_{t-i}) = \sum_{j=i}^{T} \epsilon_{t-i}^2 + \text{covariance terms.}$ $E(y_t y_{t-i}) = (T - i)\sigma_2.$ This is an increasing function in *T*. So it is non-stationary.

御 とくほとくほとう ほう

• The non-stationary AR(1) model of the form:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

is called the Random Walk model.

• When the non-stationary AR(1) model has the form:

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \alpha_0 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

is called the Random Walk with drift model.

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

ъ

Conditions on MA models

Susan Thomas More about AR/MA

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

Example of MA models: MA(1)

•
$$y_t = \alpha_0 + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

•
$$\mathsf{E}(y_t|y_{t-1},\ldots,y_0) = \alpha_0 + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1}$$

•
$$\mathsf{E}(\mathbf{y}_t) = \alpha_0$$

• Variance,
$$\sigma_y^2 = (1 + \theta_1^2)\sigma^2$$

- Covariance (at lag *s*): $\theta_1 \sigma^2$ at s = 1; 0 at s > 1.
- Correlation (at lag *s*): θ_1 at s = 1; 0 at s > 1.
- Stationarity conditions on α_0, θ_1 : none.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □

э.

What happens when $\theta_1 = 1$ in an MA(1) model?

- $y_t = \alpha_0 + \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_t = \alpha_0 + (1 + L)\epsilon_t$
- $\mathsf{E}(\mathbf{y}_t) = \alpha_0$
- Variance, $\sigma_y^2 = 2\sigma^2$
- Covariance (at lag *s*): σ^2 at s = 1; 0 at s > 1.
- Correlation (at lag s): 1 at s = 1; 0 at s > 1.
- Stationarity conditions on α_0, θ_1 : none.
- However, invertibility conditions are not so clear.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Definition: Invertibility of an MA process

• Any given MA(1) process, $y_t = \alpha + (1 + \theta L)\epsilon_t$, can be re-written as:

$$(1 + \theta L)^{-1}(y_t - \alpha) = \epsilon_t$$

$$(1 - \theta L + \theta^2 L^2 - \theta^3 L^3 + \dots)(y_t - \alpha) = \epsilon_t$$

which becomes an AR(∞) model: $y_t = \alpha_0 + \theta y_{t-1} - \theta^2 y_{t-2} + \theta^3 y_{t-3} + \ldots + \epsilon_t$

- This is referred to as the invertibility condition for an MA process.
- The form of the MA(1) process with θ < 1 is called the invertible form.
 When θ > 1, the MA(1) process is called the non-invertible form.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Why is the invertibility condition important?

- Invertibility conditions are important for forecastability of an MA process.
 Forecasting using a non-invertible MA process is difficult.
- Good news: every non-invertible MA process can be equally generated by a invertible MA process.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Calculating the non-invertible form of an invertible MA(1)

Consider two MA(1) processes:

- By virtue of having the same α and the same MA order, these two processes have the same first moment.
- If these processes also satisfy the following two conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &= \tilde{\theta}_1^{-1} \\ \sigma^2 &= \tilde{\theta}_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}^2 \end{aligned}$$

they will also have the same second moment.

Then, y
_t is the non-invertible representation of the invertible process y_t, and vice-versa.

< 回 > < 回 > <

Implications of invertible/non-invertible pairs

 Any DGP that appears as an MA(1) process could be generated by **either** of the invertible/non-invertible processes with equal likelihood.
 Example, in

$$y_t = \alpha + (1 + \theta_1 L)\epsilon_t \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

and if $\theta_1 > 1$, then it's equally likely that

$$y_t = \alpha + (1 + 1/\theta_1 L)\tilde{\epsilon}_t \quad \tilde{\epsilon}_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2/\theta_1^2)$$

• A problem with a model such that

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_t = (1 + \tilde{\theta}_1 L)^{-1} (y_t - \alpha)$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_1 > 1$ is that it implies that $\tilde{\epsilon}_t$ depends upon the forward values of y_t !

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト ・

Invertibility of the MA(q) process

- Given $y_t \alpha = (1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \ldots + \theta_q L^q) \epsilon_t$
- It in invertible as long as the roots of $(1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \ldots + \theta_q L^q)$ lie outside the unit circle.
- Alternatively,

$$(1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \ldots + \theta_q L^q) = (1 - \lambda_1 L)(1 - \lambda_2 L) \ldots (1 - \lambda_q L)$$

the invertibility condition implies that $|\lambda_1|, |\lambda_2|, \ldots, |\lambda_q| < 1$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Forecasting using AR/MA models

Susan Thomas More about AR/MA

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Issues in forecasting using stochastic models

Every forecast has to have a estimate along with an "estimated forecast error".

This is common to all econometric models.

- New to time series problems: one-step ahead and multiple-step ahead forecasts.
 - One-step ahead forecast: Observe information till t = T. Forecast for t = T + 1.
 - Multi-step ahead forecast: Observe information till t = T. Forecast for t = T + 1, T + 2, T + 3, ...
- Opending upon the type of the stochastic process and how many steps ahead is being forecasted, "estimated forecast error" can be vary significantly.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘ

One-step ahead forecast for y_t given $I_t = (y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, ...)$

If the model is AR(1),

$$E(\mathbf{y}_t|\mathbf{I}_t) = \mathbf{a} + \phi_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1}$$

• If the model is AR(2),

$$E(\mathbf{y}_t|\mathbf{I}_t) = \mathbf{a} + \phi_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \phi_2 \mathbf{y}_{t-2}$$

・ロン・西方・ ・ ヨン・

3

The one-step ahead forecast MSE of an AR(1) model

$$E(y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 = (a + \phi y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t - a - \phi y_{t-1})^2$$

= σ^2

The one-step ahead forecast MSE of an AR(2) model

$$E(y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 = (a + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t - a - \phi_1 y_{t-1} - \phi_2 y_{t-2})$$

= σ^2

▲ □ ▶ ▲ 三 ▶ ▲

글 > 글

Multi-step ahead forecasts for an AR(1) model

MSE of a two-step ahead forecast for AR(1)

$$E(y_{t+1} - \hat{y}_{t+1})^2 = (a + \phi y_t + \epsilon_{t+1} - a - \phi \hat{y}_t)^2$$

= $(\phi(a + \phi y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t) + \epsilon_{t+1} - \phi(a - \phi y_{t-1}))^2$
= $(\phi \epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t+1})^2$
= $(1 + \phi^2)\sigma^2$

• The MSE for an *s*-step ahead forecast for AR(1) is:

$$E(y_{t+s} - \hat{y}_{t+s})^2 = (1 + \phi^2 + \phi^4 + \phi^6 + \ldots + \phi^{2(s-1)})\sigma^2$$

- The MSE becomes larger for longer forecasting horizons, and is $\sigma^2/(1-\phi^2)$ asymptotically.
- Note: What happens for forecasts out of a non-stationary AR(1) model?

▲御 → ▲ 注 → ▲ 注 → … 注

MSE of a two-step ahead forecast for AR(2)

$$E(y_{t+1} - \hat{y}_{t+1})^2 = (a + \phi_1 y_t + \phi_2 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t+1} - a - \phi_1 \hat{y}_t - \phi_2 y_{t-1})^2$$

= $(\epsilon_{t+1} + \phi_1 (a + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t) - \phi_1 (a - \phi_1 y_{t-1} - \phi_2 y_{t-2}))^2$
= $(\phi_1 \epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t+1})^2$
= $(1 + \phi_1^2) \sigma^2$

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

ъ

Multi-step ahead forecasts for an AR(2) model

MSE of a three-step ahead forecast for AR(2)

$$E(y_{t+2} - \hat{y}_{t+2})^2 = (a + \phi_1 y_{t+1} + \phi_2 y_t + \epsilon_{t+2} - a - \phi_1 \hat{y}_{t+1} - \phi_2 \hat{y}_t)^2$$

$$= (\phi_1(a + \phi_1 y_t + \phi_2 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t+1}) + (\phi_2(a + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t) + \epsilon_{t+2} - \phi_1(a - \phi_1 \hat{y}_t - \phi_2 y_{t-1}) - \phi_2(a - \phi_1 y_{t-1} - \phi_2 y_{t-2}))^2$$

$$= (\epsilon_{t+2} + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t+1} + \phi_2 \epsilon_t + \phi_1^2(a + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t) - \phi_1^2(a + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2}))^2$$

$$= (1 + \phi_1^2 + (\phi_1^2 + \phi_2)^2)\sigma^2$$

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨトー

3

Forecasting using an MA model

- One-step ahead forecasts: We have $I_t = (y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots, \epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_{t-2}, \dots)$ We need to forecast y_t .
- If the model is MA(1),

$$\hat{y}_t = \alpha + E(\epsilon_t) + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} = \alpha + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1}$$

If the model is MA(∞),

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_t = \alpha + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \theta_3 \epsilon_{t-3} + \dots$$

• Forecast error: Mean Squared Error (MSE).

$$MSE = (y_t - E(y_t | I_t))^2 = (\epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \theta_3 \epsilon_{t-3} + \dots)$$
$$-(\theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \theta_3 \epsilon_{t-3} + \dots)$$
$$= \sigma^2$$

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ □

Multi-step ahead forecasts for an MA model

•
$$E(y_{t+s}|I_t)$$

$$E(\mathbf{y}_{t+s}|I_t) = \alpha + \theta_s \epsilon_t + \theta_{s+1} \epsilon_2 + \theta_{s+2} \epsilon_3 + \dots$$

Forecast error:

MSE = $(y_{t+s} - E(y_{t+s}|I_t))^2$ = $(\epsilon_{t+s} + \theta_1\epsilon_{t+s-1} + \theta_2\epsilon_{t+s-2} + \theta_3)$

Forecast error for an MA(q) process:

MSE =
$$\sigma^2$$
, $s = 1$
= $(1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \ldots + \theta_{s-1}^2)\sigma^2$, $\forall s = 2, \ldots, q$
= $(1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + \ldots + \theta_q^2)\sigma^2$, $\forall s = q+1, \ldots$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □