Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedasticity

Susan Thomas

October 7, 2009

Susan Thomas Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

- Time series dynamics of volatility
- The ARCH family of models
- \bullet Estimation: MLE for ARCH/GARCH
- Alternative specifications for heteroskedasticity

Dynamics in the second moments

 So far, all models dealt with stochastic dependence in the first moment:

$$x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \ldots + \phi_p x_{t-p} + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \ldots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q}$$

$$\epsilon \sim \operatorname{iid}(0, \sigma^2)$$

• Dependence in the second moment is:

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= \epsilon_t \\ \epsilon &\sim \operatorname{iid}(0, \sigma_t^2) \\ \sigma_t^2 &= \gamma_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \ldots + \gamma_k \sigma_{t-k}^2 \end{aligned}$$

A B M A B M

> library(ccgarch)
> N <- 2000
> a <- c(0.1, 0.5, 0.0)
> et <- uni.vola.sim(a, N)</pre>

• • = • • = •



Susan Thomas Changes in

Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

ACF/PACF of the data

Series et\$eps



Susan Thomas Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

The data squared



Susan Thomas

Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

ACF/PACF of the data squared

Series etsq



Series etsq



Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

Part I

The ARCH/GARCH model

Susan Thomas Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

→ < Ξ → <</p>

Modelling heteroskedasticity

- In a generic ARMA model, $\Phi(L)y_t = \Theta(L)\epsilon_t$, we assume that $E(\epsilon_t) = 0$ $E(\epsilon_t\epsilon_t) = \sigma^2$ $E(\epsilon_t\epsilon_\tau) = 0$
- The implication is that the unconditional variance of ε_t is constant.
- But the conditional variance of ϵ_t could change over time.
- To capture this, we can rewrite the error term characteristics as:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_t &= \sqrt{(h_t)}\nu_t \\ & E(\nu_t) = 0, E(\nu_t^2) = 1 \\ E(\epsilon_t^2) &= h_t \end{aligned}$$

The ARCH family

• If *h_t* is a linear function with lagged values of the mean equation errors, then the time-series dynamic of volatility is like an AR process.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_t^2 &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma_2 \epsilon_{t-2}^2 + \dots + w_t \\ &= E(w_t) = 0, E(w_t, w_t) = \lambda^2, E(w_t, w_\tau) = 0 \\ E(\epsilon_t^2) &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma_2 \epsilon_{t-2}^2 + \dots + 0 \\ e_t^2 &= h_t \nu_t^2 \\ E(\epsilon_t^2) &= h_t \\ h_t &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma_2 \epsilon_{t-2}^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

- This is called the *Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity* (ARCH) model. **Engle (1982)**
- The order of the model is the number of terms of lagged ϵ^2 are contained in it.

For example, we consider the ARCH(1) model:

$$y_t = \alpha + \epsilon_t$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, h_t)$$

$$h_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2$$

 h_t is a deterministic estimate of volatility.

Since we can never observe volatility with certainty, true volatility ϵ_t^2 is defined as:

$$\epsilon_t^2 = h_t + w_t$$

Then the above equation for h_t can be re-expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_t^2 - w_t &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 \\ \epsilon_t^2 &= \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + w_t \\ 1 - \gamma_1 \mathcal{L} \epsilon_t^2 &= \gamma_0 + w_t \end{aligned}$$

This is an AR(1) in ϵ_t^2 , where w_t as the error between the deterministic part of volatility h_t and actual volatility ϵ_t^2 .

The ARCH(1) is defined as:

$$y_t = \alpha + \epsilon_t$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, h_t)$$

$$h_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2$$

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

We check for stationarity conditions:

•
$$E(y_t) = \alpha$$

• $E(y_t)^2 = E(h_t)$

$$E(h_t) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 E(\epsilon_{t-1}^2)$$

(1 - \gamma_1) E(h_t) = \gamma_0
E(h_t) = \sigma^2 = \gamma_0/(1 - \gamma_1)

- $E(y_t y_{t-1}) = E(\sqrt{h_t}) \nu_t \sqrt{h_{t-1}} \nu_{t-1} = 0$
- The new stationarity conditions are that $\gamma_1 < 1$.
- However, this is not a sufficient condition to ensure strong-form stationarity when the errors are gaussian distributed.

The ARCH(p) is defined as:

$$y_t = \alpha + \epsilon_t$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, h_t)$$

$$h_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma_2 \epsilon_{t-2}^2 + \dots \gamma_p \epsilon_{t-p}^2$$

HW: Work out what is the stationarity conditions on the ARCH(p) model?

★ 3 → < 3</p>

ARCH models with real-world data

- The ARCH models were quite successful in describing volatility dynamics, specifically in the world of finance (interest rate, foreign exchange, equity price volatility).
- However, a common feature was that the best specifications of volatility dynamics implied very long lags for the ARCH process.

The GARCH specification

- Bollerslev, (1986) came up with the GARCH specification to create a more parsimonious specification to describe financial market volatility.
- The simplest of the GARCH specification is that of a GARCH(1,1) model. This is defined as:

$$y_t = \alpha + \epsilon_t$$

$$\epsilon_t \sim N(0, h_t)$$

$$h_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1}$$

• More generically, there are GARCH(p,q) specifications where *h_t* is:

$$h_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \ldots + \gamma_p \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1} + \ldots + \beta_q h_{t-q}$$

$$h_t = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1}$$

However, this is only the deterministic part of volatility. Actual volatility ϵ_t^2 cannot be observed fully, and is therefore defined as:

$$\epsilon_t^2 = h_t + w_t$$

Then, the above equation in h_t becomes:

(1

$$h_{t} = \epsilon_{t}^{2} - w_{t} = \gamma_{0} + \gamma_{1}\epsilon_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}h_{t-1}$$

$$\epsilon_{t}^{2} = \gamma_{0} + \gamma_{1}\epsilon_{t-1}^{2} + \beta_{1}(\epsilon_{t-1}^{2} - w_{t-1}) + w_{t}$$

$$= \gamma_{0} + (\gamma_{1} + \beta_{1})\epsilon_{t-1}^{2} + w_{t} - \beta_{1}w_{t-1}$$

$$- (\gamma_{1} + \beta_{1})L)\epsilon_{t}^{2} = \gamma_{0} + (1 - \beta_{1}L)w_{t}$$

This is an ARMA(1,1) model with the AR component of ϵ_t^2 and the MA component of w_t .

Stationarity conditions for GARCH(1,1)

•
$$E(y_t) = \alpha$$

• $E(y_t)^2 = E(\epsilon_t)^2$
 $E(\epsilon_t)^2 = \gamma_0 + (\gamma_1 + \beta_1)E(\epsilon_{t-1}^2) + w_t - \beta_1 w_{t-1}$
 $(1 - \gamma_1 - \beta_1)\sigma^2 = \gamma_0$
 $\sigma^2 = \gamma_0/(1 - (\gamma_1 + \beta_1))$

For stationarity, we need $(\gamma_1 + \beta_1) < 1$.

3 1 4

- Find out the AR form and the stationarity conditions for an AR(1)-ARCH(3) specification.
- Find out the AR form and the stationarity conditions for an GARCH(1,2) specification.

• • = • • = •

Part II

MLE for time series models of heteroskedasticity

Susan Thomas Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

* 3 > * 3

MLE for ARCH models

• The parameter vector for an ARCH(*m*) model is $\Gamma = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m,$

We assume that ν_t and w_t have $\sigma^2 = 1$.

- Assuming that ν_t is normally distributed, ϵ_t is normally distributed.
- For the ARCH model, the conditional likelihood for *x_t* becomes:

$$f(x_t; \Gamma, x_{t-1}, \ldots) = f(x_t; (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m), x_{t-1}, \ldots)$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h_t}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_t^2}{h_t}\right)\right]$
= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 x_{t-2}^2 + \ldots)}}$
 $\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_t^2}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 x_{t-2}^2 + \ldots}\right)\right]$

Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

Conditional MLE for ARCH(1)

• For the first observation, x_1

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_1 &\sim N(0, h_1) \\ h_1 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

• For the data
$$(x_1, \dots, x_T)$$
, we say that
 $E(\epsilon_0) = E(x_0) = 0$
 $E(\epsilon_0^2) = E(x_t^2) = \hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2 = \hat{\sigma}_x^2$

• The density of the first observation is

$$f(x_{1}; \Gamma) = f(x_{1}; \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2})}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2})}\right)\right]_{\Xi} \quad \text{and} C$$
Susan Thomas
Changes in second moments: models of conditional heterosked.

Conditional MLE for ARCH(1), contd.

• For the second observation, x₂

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \epsilon_2 \\ \epsilon_2 &\sim N(0, h_2) \\ h_2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_1^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f(x_2|\Gamma, x_1) = f(x_2|\alpha_0, \alpha_1, x_1) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2)}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_2^2}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2)}\right)\right]$$

Conditional MLE for ARCH(1), contd.

• For the third observation, x_3

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{3} & = & \epsilon_{3} \\ \epsilon_{3} & \sim & \mathcal{N}(0,h_{3}) \\ h_{3} & = & \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} \\ & = & \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{2}^{2} \\ f(x_{3}|\Gamma,x_{2}) & = & f(x_{3}|\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},x_{2}) \\ & = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{2}^{2})}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_{3}^{2}}{2(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{2}^{2})}\right)\right] \end{array}$$

Unconditional MLE for ARCH(1) model

• For observation 1, x_1

$$E(\epsilon_0^2) = \alpha_0/(1-\alpha_1)$$

• Therefore, the likelihood of x_1 is,

$$f(x_{1};\Gamma) = f(x_{1};\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1})$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}(\alpha_{0}/(1-\alpha_{1})))}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}(\alpha_{0}/(1-\alpha_{1})))}\right)\right]$

• • = • • = •

- Calculate the first order derivatives for the log likelihood function of an ARCH(2) model.
- Calculate the second order derivatives for the log likelihood function of an ARCH(2) model.

• • = • • = •

MLE for GARCH models

- The parameter vector for a GARCH(m,n) model is $\Gamma = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n.$ We assume that ν_t and w_t have $\sigma^2 = 1.$
- Assuming that ν_t is normally distributed, ϵ_t is normally distributed.
- For the GARCH model, the conditional likelihood for *x*_t becomes:

$$f(x_{t}; \Gamma, x_{t-1}, \ldots) = f(x_{t}; (\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}), x_{t-1}, \ldots, h_{t})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi h_{t}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{h_{t}}\right)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{t-1}^{2} + \alpha_{2}x_{t-2}^{2} + \ldots + \gamma_{1}h_{t-1} + \alpha_{2}x_{t-1}^{2} + \alpha_{1}h_{t-1} + \alpha_{2}h_{t-1} + \alpha_{2}h_{t$$

Susan Thomas Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

Conditional MLE for GARCH(1,1)

• For the first observation, x_1

$$x_1 = \epsilon_1$$

$$\epsilon_1 \sim N(0, h_1)$$

$$h_1 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_0^2 + \gamma_1 h_0$$

$$E(\epsilon_0^2) = E(x_t^2) = \hat{\sigma}_x^2$$

$$h_0 = E(\epsilon_0^2) = \hat{\sigma}_x^2$$

• The density of the first observation is

$$f(x_{1};\Gamma) = f(x_{1};\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},\gamma_{1}) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0}+(\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1})\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2})}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2(\alpha_{0}+(\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1})\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2})}\right)\right]$$

Conditional MLE for GARCH(1,1), contd.

• For the second observation, x₂

$$x_2 = \epsilon_2$$

$$\epsilon_2 \sim N(0, h_2)$$

$$h_2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1$$

$$= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1$$

Therefore,

$$f(x_2|\Gamma, x_1) = f(x_2|\alpha_0, \alpha_1, x_1) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1)}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_2^2}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1)}\right)\right]$$

Conditional MLE for GARCH(1,1), contd.

• For the third observation, x_3

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_{3} & = & \epsilon_{3} \\ \epsilon_{3} & \sim & \mathcal{N}(0,h_{3}) \\ h_{3} & = & \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}\epsilon_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{1}h_{2} \\ & = & \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1}x_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{1}h_{2} \\ f(x_{3}|\Gamma,x_{2}) & = & f(x_{3}|\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1},x_{2}) \\ & = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}x_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{1}h_{2})}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_{3}^{2}}{2(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}x_{2}^{2}+\gamma_{1}h_{2})}\right)\right] \right) \\ \end{array}$$

∃ → ∢

Unconditional MLE for GARCH(1,1) model

• For observation 1, x_1 ,

$$E(\epsilon_0^2) = \alpha_0/(1-\alpha_1-\gamma_1)$$

$$E(h_0) = \alpha_0/(1-\alpha_1-\gamma_1)$$

• Therefore, the likelihood of x₁ is,

$$f(x_{1};\Gamma) = f(x_{1};\alpha_{0},\alpha_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_{0} + (\alpha_{1} + \gamma_{1})(\alpha_{0}/(1 - \alpha_{1}^{2} - \gamma_{1})))}}$$

$$\exp\left[-\left(\frac{x_{1}^{2}}{2(\alpha_{0} + (\alpha_{1} + \gamma_{1})\alpha_{0}/(1 - \alpha_{1} - \gamma_{1}))}\right)\right]$$

- Calculate the first order derivatives for the log likelihood function of an GARCH(1,1) model.
- Calculate the second order derivatives for the log likelihood function of an GARCH(1,1) model.
- How are these first order derivatives different from those of an ARCH(2) model?

• • = • • = •

Joint ARCH models, some examples

• AR-ARCH models: for example, AR(1)-ARCH(1) -

$$x_t = a + \phi x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$
$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2$$

• MA-ARCH models: for example, MA(1)-ARCH(1) -

$$x_t = a + \epsilon_t + \theta \epsilon_{t-1}$$
$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2$$

• ARMA-ARCH models: for example, ARMA(1,1)-ARCH(2) -

$$x_t = a + \phi x_{t-1} + \theta \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-2}^2$$

Joint GARCH models, some examples

• AR-GARCH models: for example, AR(1)-GARCH(1,1) –

$$x_t = a + \phi x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma_1 h_{t-1}$$

• MA-GARCH models: for example, MA(1)-GARCH(2,1) -

$$x_t = a + \epsilon_t + \theta \epsilon_{t-1}$$

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \alpha_2 \epsilon_{t-2}^2 + \gamma_1 h_{t-1}$$

 ARMA-GARCH models: for example, ARMA(1,1)-GARCH(1,2) –

$$x_t = a + \phi x_{t-1} + \theta \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \gamma_1 h_{t-1} + \gamma_2 h_{t-2}$$

Conditional MLE for AR(1)-GARCH(1,1)

• For the first observation, x_1

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= a + \theta x_0 + \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_1 &\sim N(0, h_1) \\ h_1 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_0^2 + \gamma_1 h_0 \end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$E(x_0) = \hat{\mu}_x$$

$$\epsilon_1 = x_1 - \mathbf{a} - \theta \hat{\mu}_x$$

$$E(\epsilon_0^2) = E((x_t - \mathbf{a} - \theta \hat{\mu}_x)^2) = \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2$$

$$h_0 = E(\epsilon_0^2) = \hat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2$$

★ Ξ →

Conditional MLE for AR(1)-GARCH(1,1)

• The density of the first observation is

$$f(x_1; \Gamma) = f(x_1; a, \theta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \gamma_1)$$

=
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + \gamma_1)\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2)}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{(x_1 - a - \theta\hat{\mu}_x)^2}{2(\alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + \gamma_1)\hat{\sigma}_{\epsilon}^2)}\right)\right]$$

Conditional MLE for AR(1)-GARCH(1,1), contd.

• For the second observation, x₂

$$x_2 = a + \theta x_1 + \epsilon_2$$

$$\epsilon_2 = (x_2 - a - \theta x_1) \sim N(0, h_2)$$

$$h_2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1$$

$$= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1$$

Therefore,

$$f(x_2|\Gamma, x_1) = f(x_2|\alpha_0, \alpha_1, x_1) \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1)}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{(x_2 - a - \theta x_1)}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1^2 + \gamma_1 h_1)}\right)\right]$$

Conditional MLE for AR(1)-GARCH(1,1), contd.

• For the third observation, x₃

$$\begin{aligned} x_3 &= \epsilon_3 \\ \epsilon_3 &= (x_3 - a - \theta x_2) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, h_3) \\ h_3 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_2^2 + \gamma_1 h_2 \\ &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_2^2 + \gamma_1 h_2 \\ f(x_3 | \Gamma, x_2) &= f(x_3 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_2^2 + \gamma_1 h_2)}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{(x_3 - a - \theta x_2)}{2(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_2^2 + \gamma_1 h_2)}\right)\right] \\ \end{aligned}$$

How are the first order derivatives of an AR(1)-GARCH(1,1) model different from those of a simple GARCH(1,1) model?

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Part III

Alternative specifications for heteroskedasticity

Susan Thomas Changes in second moments: models of conditional heteroskedas

* 3 > < 3</p>

Other models of heteroskedasticity

Standardised residuals from GARCH models still display some presence of fat tails. This led to the following models:

- ν_t is modelled as having a non-gaussian distribution. Some of the literature uses a t-distribution to model ν_t .
- EGARCH, or exponential garch. Nelson (1991)
 - **1** It models $\log h_t$ instead of h_t .
 - It allows the variance to have asymmetric behaviour to reflect any asymmetries in the data. This model uses |e_{t-i}| along with e_{t-i} to capture the asymmetries in the returns data in the heteroskedasticity model.

Other models have been developed which also models the asymmetric effect without using the exponential form.

• IGARCH, or Integrated GARCH. These are models where the variance is non-stationary. It has the form of the GARCH model, where the GARCH parameters add to one. For example, an IGARCH(1,1) model will have the form:

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + (1 - \alpha_{\overline{f}}) h_t \underline{=} + \overline{\epsilon} + \overline{$$

- x_t is a linear function of h_t .
- How h_t enters the function for x_t comes from (a) theory and
 (b) empirical testing both.
- For example, an AR(1)-ARCH(1)-in-mean model:

$$x_t = a + \phi x_{t-1} + d\sqrt{h_t} + \epsilon_t$$
$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}$$

• • = • • = •

References

- James D. Hamilton, *Time Series Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1994, 1st edition, Chapter 21
- Timothy Bollerslev, (1986), Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity, Journal of Econometrics, 31, pg 307–327.
- Robert Engle (1982), Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of United Kingdom Inflation, Econometrica, 50, pg 987–1007.
- Daniel B. Nelson (1991), Conditional Heteroscedasticity in asset returns: a new approach, Econometrica, 59/2, pg 347–370.
- Andrew A. Weiss (1986), Asymptotic Theory for ARCH Models: Estimation and Testing, Econometric Theory, 2, pg 107–131