## Replicator Dynamics in Density Form

Dharini Hingu Department of Mathematics IIT Madras, Chennai.

International Conclave on Decision and Game Theory 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

# Outline

### Introduction

Evolutionary Games with Continuous Strategy Spaces

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Replicator Dynamics
- Density Form of Replicator Dynamics
- Stability of Polymorphic Population States

References

## Introduction

- Maynard Smith and Price([1, 2]) initiated the use of game theory to study animal conflicts.
- The strategies available to the population can be finite or infinite.
- We deal with evolutionary games with infinite pure strategy space.
- First studied by Bomze and Pötscher in [3] through "generalized" mixed strategy games.
- Results available regarding the stability of population states are restrictive in nature.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Evolutionary Games with Continuous Strategy Spaces

• Game: 
$$G = (S, u)$$

- Pure strategy set: (S, d) Polish space
- Payoff function:  $u: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Payoff to  $z \in S$  against  $w \in S$  is u(z,w).
- ► Measurable space: (*S*, *B*)
- ▶ Population states in the game: Probability measures on (S, B)
- Average payoff of population P against population Q is given by:

$$E(P,Q) = \int_{S} \int_{S} u(z,w) \ Q(dw) \ P(dz)$$

- Let Δ be the set of all population states.
- Various metrics on Δ
- Strong or Variational norm

• For  $P \in \Delta$ ,

$$\|P\| = \sup_{f} \left| \int_{S} f dP \right|$$

 $f:S
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$  are measurable functions bounded by 1.

• Variational distance: For  $P, \ Q \in \Delta$ 

$$||P - Q|| = 2 \sup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |P(B) - Q(B)|.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Static Stability Concepts

## Evolutionarily Stable Strategy (ESS)

A population state P is called an *evolutionary stable strategy* if for every "mutation"  $Q \neq P$ , there is an invasion barrier  $\epsilon(Q) > 0$ , that is, for all  $0 < \eta \le \epsilon(Q)$ ,

$$E(P,(1-\eta)P+\eta Q) > E(Q,(1-\eta)P+\eta Q).$$
(1)

### Uninvadability

A population state P is called *uninvadable* if, in the above definition,  $\epsilon(Q)$  can be chosen independent of  $Q \in \Delta$ ,  $Q \neq P$ .

### Strong Unbeatability

A population state P is called *strongly unbeatable* if there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all population states  $R \neq P$  with  $||R - P|| < \epsilon$ , we have

$$E(P,R) \geq E(R,R).$$

### Strong Uninvadability

A population state P is called *strongly uninvadable* if there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for all population states  $R \neq P$  with  $||R - P|| \leq \epsilon$ , we have

$$E(P,R) > E(R,R).$$

## **Replicator Dynamics**

Basic Idea:

The relative growth rate in the frequency of strategies in a set B, is given by the average success of strategies in B.

Success (or lack of success) of a strategy  $z \in S$  against  $w \in S$  is given by:

$$\sigma(z,w)=u(z,w)-u(w,w).$$

Average Success (or lack of success) of a strategy  $z \in S$ , if the population state is Q, is given by:

$$\sigma(z,Q) := \int_{S} u(z,w) \ Q(dw) - \int_{S} \int_{S} u(\bar{z},\bar{w}) \ Q(d\bar{w}) \ Q(d\bar{z})$$
$$= E(\delta_{z},Q) - E(Q,Q).$$

Replicator Dynamics For all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ 

$$Q'(t)(B) = \int_B \sigma(z, Q(t)) \ Q(t)(dz)$$
(2)

with the initial condition Q(0).

#### Remark

If there is a measure R such that P as well as Q(t) for every  $t \ge 0$  are absolutely continuous w.r.t R, then we have

$$\|Q(t)-P\|=\int_{S}\left|\frac{dQ(t)}{dR}-\frac{dP}{dR}\right|dR.$$

Strong convergence of Q(t) to P can be studied through convergence of the densities in L<sup>1</sup>(R), the set of all R-integrable functions on S.

## Density Form of Replicator Dynamics

- Fix a population state  $P \in \Delta$ .
- Let  $\Sigma(P) := \{Q \in \Delta | Q \approx P\} \subset \Delta$
- $Q \approx P$ : Q is absolutely continuous w.r.t P and vice-versa.
- Let  $Q(0) \in \Sigma(P)$  and

$$\phi(z) = rac{dQ(0)}{dP}(z)$$
 a.e.  $z(P)$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $Q(t) \approx Q(0)$  for every t > 0 by Lemma 2 in [5].
- $Q(t) \in \Sigma(P)$  for all t > 0.

Let *Q*(*z*, *t*) be the Radon-Nikodym derivative of *Q*(*t*) w.r.t *P*, i.e.

$$\varrho(z,t) := \frac{dQ(t)}{dP}(z) \quad \text{ a.e. } z \ (P). \tag{3}$$

• When there is no confusion we write  $\rho(z, t)$  as  $\rho(t)$ .

• Let  $D(P) \subset L^1(P)$  be defined as

$$D(P):=\left\{f\in L^1(P)\mid f>0 ext{ a.e. }P ext{ and } \int_S f extsf{ } dP=1
ight\}.$$

•  $\phi \in D(P)$  and  $\varrho(t) \in D(P)$  for all t > 0.

• Consider the map  $\Lambda : \Sigma(P) \to D(P)$  defined by

$$\Lambda(Q) = \frac{dQ}{dP}.$$
 (4)

Λ is a one-one and onto map.

From Theorem 5 in [6], for every  $Q \in \Sigma(P)$  we have,

$$\|Q\| = \int_{S} \Lambda(Q) \ dP.$$

▶ Differentiability of Q(t) (in variational norm) implies differentiability of Q(t) (in L<sup>1</sup>(P)) and

$$\varrho'(t) = \frac{\partial \varrho}{\partial t}(t) \in L^1(P).$$
(5)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Theorem

The density function  $\varrho(t)$  satisfies the integro-partial differential equation

$$\varrho'(t) = \varrho(t)I(\varrho(t)), \quad \varrho(0) = \phi$$
 (6)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

where for  $z \in S$ ,

$$I(\varrho(t))(z) = \int_{S} u(z, w) \ \varrho(w, t) \ P(dw) - \int_{S} \int_{S} u(\bar{z}, \bar{w}) \ \varrho(\bar{z}, t) \ \varrho(\bar{w}, t) \ P(d\bar{w}) \ P(d\bar{z}).$$
(7)

# Stability of Polymorphic Population States

• Monomorphic population state:  $\delta_x$ ,  $x \in S$ .

Theorem (J. Oechssler and F. Riedel, 2001)

If  $Q^* = \delta_x$  is an uninvadable, monomorphic population state, then  $Q^*$  is Lyapunov stable.

Moreover, if u is continuous then  $Q^*$  is weakly attracting.

Natural to see the extension of this result for a population with finite support.

## **Polymorphic States**

We consider the polymorphic population state:

$$P^* = \alpha_1 \delta_{x_1} + \alpha_2 \delta_{x_2} + \dots + \alpha_k \delta_{x_k}.$$
(8)

▶ We will study the stability of *P*<sup>\*</sup>.

#### Lemma

The population state  $P^*$  is a rest point of the replicator dynamics if and only if the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j u(x_i, x_j)$  is independent of *i*.

# Dynamic Stability Concepts

## Lyapunov Stability

Rest point P is called Lyapunov stable if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $\eta > 0$  such that,

 $||Q(0) - P|| < \eta \implies ||Q(t) - P|| < \epsilon \text{ for all } t > 0.$ 

### Strongly Attracting

*P* is called *strongly attracting* if there exists an  $\eta > 0$  such that Q(t) converges to *P* strongly as  $t \to \infty$ , whenever  $||Q(0) - P|| < \eta$ .

 P is called asymptotically stable if it is Lyapunov stable and strongly attracting.

- We take the initial population state, Q(0) from an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of P\*.
- The replicator dynamics trajectory Q(t) is of the form

$$Q(t)=\sum_{j=1}^keta_j(t)\delta_{x_j}+eta_{k+1}(t)R(t)\ ; \quad ext{with}\qquad \sum_{j=1}^{k+1}eta_j(t)=1$$

 $\beta_j(t)$  are the solution of the following differential equations:

 $\beta'_j(t) = \beta_j(t) \ \sigma(x_j, Q(t)) \quad ; \ \beta_j(0) = \beta_j \quad \forall \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ (9) and  $R(t) \in \Delta$  with  $R(t)(\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\}) = 0.$ 

Lyapunov Stability of P\*

#### Theorem

Let  $P^*$  be the polymorphic population state as in (8). If  $P^*$  is strongly unbeatable then  $P^*$  is Lyapunov stable.

Idea of Proof

- Let  $\Omega = \{Q \in \Delta : \|Q P^*\| < \min\{\epsilon, \delta\}\}.$
- $\bullet \ \delta < 2\min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k\}.$
- Define  $V: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$V(Q) = \int_{S} \ln\left(\frac{dP^*}{dQ}\right) \ dP^* = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j \ \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}\right).$$
(10)

► V(Q) is a Lyapunov function and by Theorem 3.1 in [8], we can conclude that P\* is Lyapunov stable.

# Asymptotic Stability of $P^*$

### Theorem

Let  $P^*$  be the polymorphic population state as in (8). If  $P^*$  is strongly uninvadable then  $P^*$  is asymptotically stable.

## Idea of Proof

- Consider and fix a population state  $Q \in \Omega$ .
- ▶ Let  $\overline{G}$  be a  $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$  matrix game with the pure strategy set  $\overline{S} = \{\delta_{x_1}, \delta_{x_2}, \cdots, \delta_{x_k}, R\}$  and payoff matrix at time *t* as,

$$U(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & u(x_1, x_k) & E(\delta_{x_1}, R(t)) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ u(x_k, x_1) & \cdots & u(x_k, x_k) & E(\delta_{x_k}, R(t)) \\ E(R(t), \delta_{x_1}) & \cdots & E(R(t), \delta_{x_k}) & E(R(t), R(t)) \end{pmatrix}$$

- Note that the equations for β'<sub>j</sub>(t) are equivalent to the continuous-time replicator dynamics equations for the game Ḡ.
- Q in the game G is equivalent to the strategy  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_{k+1})^T$  in  $\overline{G}$ .
- ▶  $P^*$  in the game G is equivalent to the strategy  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_k, 0)^T$  in  $\overline{G}$ .
- Define  $V_1 : \Omega_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$V_1(\beta) = \sum_{j \in supp(\alpha)} \alpha_j \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \ln\left(\frac{\alpha_j}{\beta_j}\right)$$
(11)

- $V_1$  is a Lyapunov function with positive definite  $-\dot{V}_1(\cdot)$ .
- Thus,  $\alpha$  is asymptotically stable in the game  $\overline{G}$ .
- Therefore, the polymorphic population state P\* is asymptotically stable in the game G.

- Maynard Smith J., G. R. Price: The Logic of Animal Conflict, Nature, 246, 15-18 (1973)
- Maynard Smith J.: The Theory of Games and The Evolution of Animal Conflict, Journal of Theoretical Biology, 47, 209-221 (1974)
- Bomze I.M., Pötscher B.M.: Game Theoretical Foundations of Evolutionary Stability. Springer (1989)

Bomze I.M.: Dynamical Aspects of Evolutionary Stability. Monatsh. Math., **110**, 189-206 (1990)

- Bomze I.M.: Cross Entropy Minimization in Uninvadable States of Complex Populations. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 30, 73-87 (1991)
- Oechssler J., Riedel F.: Evolutionary Dynamics on Infinite Strategy Spaces. Economic Theory, 17, 141-162 (2001)
- Oechssler J., Riedel F.: On the Dynamic Foundation of Evolutionary Stability in Continuous Models. Journal of Economic Theory, 107, 223-252 (2002)
- Xu G., Yung S. P.: Lyapunov Stability of Abstract Nonlinear Dynamic System in Banach Space. IMA Journal of Mathematical control and Information, **20**, 105-127 (2003)

# Thank You.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>