hgfhf

dfg



Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research, Mumbai July 2014

http://www.igidr.ac.in/pdf/publication/RP-2014-022.pdf

hgfhf

dfg

Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research (IGIDR)
General Arun Kumar Vaidya Marg
Goregaon (E), Mumbai- 400065, INDIA
Email(corresponding author):

gdf@hgfh

Abstract

hgfhgfh

Keywords: hgfhgfh

JEL Code: fghhgf

Acknowledgements:

hgfhgfhfg

Incidence of Poor and Poverty Risk in India across NSS Regions for Rural and Urban areas, 2004-05 and 2009-10

Srijit Mishra



Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research, Mumbai June 2014

http://www.igidr.ac.in/pdf/publication/WP-2014-021.pdf

Incidence of Poor and Poverty Risk in India across NSS Regions for Rural and Urban areas, 2004-05 and 2009-10

Srijit Mishra

Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research (IGIDR)
General Arun Kumar Vaidya Marg
Goregaon (E), Mumbai- 400065, INDIA
Email (corresponding author): srijit@igidr.ac.in

Abstract

This note provides an estimate of incidence of poor and poverty risk in India across NSS regions for 2004-05 and 2009-10 in rural and urban areas. It raises concern on increasing poverty risk and also incidence of poor in some regions. These are not necessarily among the relatively worse-off CABMOUJ (kab mouj, referring to Chhattisgarh, Assam, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh and Jharkhand) states, but they also happen to be in some of the better performing states like Andhra Pradesh, Delhi, Gujarat, Haryana and Punjab.

Keywords: Incidence of poor, India, NSS regions, poverty risk

JEL Code: 132, O53

Acknowledgements:

Recent reference to some related work by Professor YK Alagh and subsequent queries by others led to this. I thank all of them. Errors, on account of this urgency, are entirely mine.

Incidence of Poor and Poverty Risk in India across NSS Regions for Rural and Urban areas, 2004-05 and 2009-10¹

Srijit Mishra

20 June 2014

Abstract

This note provides an estimate of incidence of poor and poverty risk in India across NSS regions for 2004-05 and 2009-10 in rural and urban areas. It raises concern on increasing poverty risk and also incidence of poor in some regions. These are not necessarily among the relatively worse-off CABMOUJ (kab mouj, referring to Chhattisgarh, Assam, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh and Jharkhand) states, but they also happen to be in some of the better performing states like Andhra Pradesh, Delhi, Gujarat, Haryana and Punjab.

JEL Codes: 132, O53

Key Words: Incidence of poor, India, NSS regions, poverty risk

Introduction

Two recent opinion pieces (Alagh 2014a, b) raised concerns on poverty in Southern Gujarat referring to my work that is not publicly available. This led to a number of queries and the current note is in response to some of those. It is a spillover from some of my recent work (Mishra 2014; Pathak and Mishra 2011, 2012) and provides comparable estimates for 2004-05 and 2009-10 across National Sample Survey (NSS) regions for incidence of poor and poverty risk. In addition, 2009-10 being a drought year, an analysis of poverty across NSS regions that broadly represent different agro-climatic conditions could also be important for policy implications in 2014-15 that, as per current meteorological predictions (India Meteorological Department 2014), seems to be heading towards a below normal rainfall. Independent of the weather condition, the region-wise analysis will also be important from a policy perspective because of some important emerging patterns.

¹ Recent reference to some related work by Professor YK Alagh and subsequent queries by others led to this. I thank all of them. Errors, if any, on account of this urgency, are entirely mine.

Data and method

The estimates for 2004-05 and 2009-10 are based on the unit level data from the NSS quinquennial rounds (61st and 66th, respectively) on consumption expenditure. Incidence of poor is computed as head count ratio or proportion poor based on the poverty lines given by the Planning Commission (Government of India 2009, 2012). Poverty risk is the ratio of share of poor across regions to the share of population across regions. If poverty risk is greater than unity than the share of poor would be greater than the share of population for that region or sub-group. The 61st round has 78 regions while the 66th round has 88 regions, but to ensure comparison we combined some of them to arrive at 74 regions. From the 35 states and union territories, 18 smaller entities are identified with a single region and the remaining 17 states have 56 regions as per this classification. For these 56 regions, the composition of districts [sub-districts] is indicated in Appendix 1.

Results

Table 1 gives head count ratio (per cent) and Table 2 gives the poverty risk for 74 comparable NSS regions separately for rural and urban areas of India. We refrain from discussing the results, as the purpose of the current exercise is largely meant to share the estimates. Besides, at the aggregate all-India level some of the discussion across states as also for other sub-groups of population (caste, religion and occupational categories among others) for these years is already available. For instance, Panagariya and Mukim (2014) emphasise on reductions in poverty across major states and broad sub-groups of population while Pathak and Mishra (2013) emphasise on an increase in poverty risk among certain sub-groups of population. In addition, there are some smaller states/union territories and sub-groups where the increase in poverty risk is also accompanied by an increase in incidence of poor.

Across major states, the relatively higher incidence of poverty is in the states of Chhattisgarh, Assam, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh and Jharkhand (CABMOUJ, pronounced *kab mouj*). Other vulnerable sub-groups are scheduled tribes, scheduled castes, the agricultural and other labourers in rural areas and the casual labourer and self-employed (read petty traders and hawkers) in urban areas, and a relatively high incidence of poverty among children. Also of concern is the increasing incidence of poor in many North-East states, rural Chhattisgarh, urban Jharkhand (urban), urban Sikhs and for those with higher educational attainments.

Our NSS region wise analysis shows that poverty risk being greater than unity has reduced from 33 regions in 2004-05 to 26 regions in 2009-10 for rural areas and has increased from 35 regions in 2004-05 to 36 regions in 2009-10 for urban areas. Further, from the 26 regions with poverty risk greater than unity in 2009-10 for rural areas, 18 regions indicated an

increase in poverty risk when compared with 2004-05 and poverty risk also showed an increase in another 10 regions. However, from the 36 regions with poverty risk greater than unity in 2009-10 for urban areas, 20 regions indicated an increase in poverty risk when compared with 2004-05 and poverty risk also showed an increase in another 18 regions. Relatively speaking, this indicates a tendency towards convergence or greater concentration of poverty in some regions for rural areas while there is an increase in spread across regions for urban areas. This may also explain the effect on account of population shift or migration from urban to rural areas in our understanding of decomposing poverty change (Mishra 2014).

The CABMOUJ states, the North-East states, other hilly and mountainous regions and some smaller entities in rural areas do give the impression of the increasing incidence of poor and poverty risk in largely rainfed and other vulnerable regions. That apart a matter of increasing concern is that there are regions in well-performing states where one not only observes an increase in poverty risk, but also an increase in the incidence of poor. They are both rural and urban areas of Plains Southern in Gujarat (the concerns raised by Alagh (2014a, b)), Northern Punjab and Central Plains in West Bengal, and urban areas of Coastal Andhra Pradesh, Delhi, Eastern Haryana, Himachal Pradesh, Mountainous and Jhelum Valley in Jammu & Kashmir, and Eastern Maharashtra among others.

Concluding Remarks

With reductions in poverty, the public policy focus should be among regions and sub-groups with relatively higher incidences and higher poverty risk. At the same time, it should also be a matter of concern that the incidence of poor is increasing among a sub-group of populations. At first glance, they seem to be among some CABMOUJ states, but a deeper probing indicates that this seems to be emerging in North-East states and also in some regions among the better-off states like Andhra Pradesh, Delhi, Gujarat, Haryana and Punjab. This should be an important concern for public policy.

Table 1: Incide	nce of Poor for Comparable NSS Reg	ions of Indi	a: 2004-05	and 2009-1	
S //	Tage :			(per cent)	
State/Union Territory	NSS region	Rural 2004-05 2009-10		Urban 2004-05 2009-10	
Andhra Pradesh	Coastal	23.6	15.1	19.5	19.7
Allullia Flauesii	Inland Northern (Telengana)	34.4	27.0	21.1	11.3
	South Western + Inland Southern	48.1	31.1	37.9	31.6
Arunachal Pradesh		33.6	26.1	23.5	24.9
Assam	Entire state Plains Eastern + Hills	37.6	41.7	22.5	26.8
Assaill	Plains Western	35.5	38.7	21.2	25.0
Bihar	Northern	53.7	55.3	45.3	43.2
Dilidi		58.7	55.4	43.3	37.4
Chhattianauh	Central				
Chhattisgarh	Entire state	55.1	56.1	28.4	23.6
Delhi	Entire state	15.6	7.6	12.9	14.3
Goa	Entire state	28.1	11.3	22.2	6.4
Gujarat	Eastern	51.2	39.0	24.9	14.3
	Plains Northern	38.0	19.0	21.9	18.0
	Plains Southern	39.8	42.3	14.1	23.9
	Dry areas	48.3	35.0	27.5	21.5
	Saurashtra	16.7	10.9	22.5	21.8
Haryana	Eastern	23.0	13.7	18.2	23.1
	Western	27.8	25.8	33.9	22.5
Himachal Pradesh	Entire state	25.0	9.1	4.6	12.5
Jammu & Kashmir	Mountainous	5.0	3.2	4.6	6.8
	Outer Hills	32.7	8.5	9.3	9.0
	Jhelum Valley	14.6	10.1	13.2	17.9
Jharkhand	Entire state	51.6	41.4	23.8	31.0
Karnataka	Coastal and Ghats	27.0	8.5	38.2	13.6
	Inland Eastern	17.8	8.7	20.5	18.2
	Inland Southern	27.5	9.4	7.9	6.4
	Inland Northern	49.6	41.6	49.5	44.9
Kerala	Northern	30.3	19.3	30.9	19.9
	Southern	12.9	6.1	11.5	7.6
Madhya Pradesh	Vindhya	59.7	61.5	28.3	37.7
	Central	64.5	43.2	36.6	28.2
	Malwa	42.1	17.3	28.6	4.6
	South	64.5	56.7	39.6	32.7
	South-Western	53.2	58.2	39.0	27.5
	Northern	40.1	21.7	44.5	27.0
Maharashtra	Coastal	44.0	27.1	7.9	5.1
	Inland Western	27.1	16.8	28.2	16.8
	Inland Northern	54.9	30.7	44.8	34.8
	Inland Central	61.6	30.0	60.3	44.2
	Inland Eastern	54.3	37.8	41.2	32.3
	Eastern	63.2	55.3	31.4	43.9
Manipur	Plains	24.5	43.6	33.6	45.1
	Hills	56.6	51.4	51.4	87.4
Meghalaya	Entire state	14.0	15.3	24.7	23.9
Mizoram Entire state		23.0	31.1	7.9	11.5
continu				continued	

Table 1: Incidence of Poor for Comparable NSS Regions of India: 2004-05 and 2009-10					
					(per cent)
State/Union Territory	NSS region	Rural		Urban	
		2004-05	2009-10	2004-05	2009-10
Nagaland	Entire state	10.0	19.2	4.3	24.9
Odisha	Coastal	44.6	25.3	37.0	18.1
	Southern	80.7	52.4	46.4	37.1
	Northern	71.6	41.7	36.1	29.3
Punjab	Northern	15.7	17.0	16.2	19.1
	Southern	29.9	12.4	24.1	17.2
Rajasthan	Western + North-Eastern	33.3	25.3	31.0	20.2
	Southern	55.0	33.6	20.5	18.8
	South-Eastern	29.6	25.3	23.8	18.9
Sikkim	Entire state	31.8	15.2	25.9	4.2
Tamil Nadu	Coastal-Northern	45.4	26.8	16.0	9.4
	Coastal	26.3	9.0	15.6	13.1
	Southern	37.6	15.6	28.0	17.4
	Inland	38.4	30.4	20.9	13.5
Tripura	Entire state	44.5	19.6	22.5	9.5
Uttar Pradesh	Western + Central	34.9	34.6	30.9	29.3
	Eastern	51.9	44.3	41.3	40.4
	Southern	44.7	45.9	48.2	31.7
Uttarakhand	Entire state	35.1	13.7	26.2	25.0
West Bengal	Himalayan	27.8	19.1	32.5	24.7
	Eastern Plains	55.9	26.6	44.6	26.6
	Central Plains	26.4	33.0	19.8	21.0
	Western Plains	36.8	29.6	26.9	22.1
Andaman & Nicobar Is	Entire union territory	3.3	0.4	0.8	0.0
Chandigarh			10.2	10.1	9.1
Dadra & Nagar Haveli	dra & Nagar Haveli Entire union territory		55.6	16.8	17.7
Daman & Diu	man & Diu Entire union territory		32.0	14.4	32.7
Lakshadweep	shadweep Entire union territory		20.6	10.3	1.0
Puducherry	Entire union territory	22.9	0.0	9.9	1.6
All India	All India Entire country		33.3	25.7	20.9
Number of regions whe		16		21	

Note: NSS indicates National Sample Survey. The NSS regions are based on the composition used for 2004-05 (see Appendix 1). In 2004-05 there were 78 NSS region, but to ensure comparability with 2009-10 we combined some of them. They are in Andhra Pradesh (South Western + Inland Southern), Assam (Plains Eastern + Hills), Rajasthan (Western + North-Eastern) and Uttar Pradesh (Western + Central). This gives us 74 comparable regions. An increase in incidence of poor (head count ratio) between the two periods is indicated by shading the latter period's cell for the region.

Source: Author's calculation based on unit level data.

State/Union Territory	NSS region	Rural		Urk	nan
State, Smon Territory		2004-05	2009-10	2004-05	2009-10
Andhra Pradesh	Coastal	0.68	0.82	0.79	0.68
	Inland Northern (Telengana)	0.82	1.19	0.82	0.50
	South Western + Inland Southern	1.30	0.94	1.57	1.52
Arunachal Pradesh	Entire state	0.80	0.79	0.93	1.19
Assam	Plains Eastern + Hills	1.21	1.57	1.46	1.83
	Plains Western	1.22	1.56	1.49	1.71
Bihar	Northern	1.29	1.66	1.76	2.07
	Central	1.40	1.66	1.68	1.79
Chhattisgarh	Entire state	1.25	1.11	0.64	0.68
Delhi	Entire state	0.37	0.23	0.50	0.69
Goa	Entire state	0.67	0.34	0.86	0.31
Gujarat	Eastern	1.22	1.17	0.97	0.69
	Plains Northern	0.91	0.57	0.85	0.86
	Plains Southern	0.95	1.27	0.55	1.15
	Dry areas	1.16	1.05	1.07	1.02
	Saurashtra	0.40	0.33	0.88	1.05
Haryana	Eastern	0.55	0.41	0.71	1.11
	Western	0.67	0.78	1.32	1.08
Himachal Pradesh	Entire state	0.51	0.15	0.18	0.48
Jammu & Kashmir	Mountainous	0.12	0.10	0.18	0.32
	Outer Hills	0.78	0.26	0.37	0.43
	Jhelum Valley	0.35	0.30	0.51	0.86
Jharkhand	Entire state	1.15	1.05	1.03	1.31
Karnataka	Coastal and Ghats	0.65	0.26	1.49	0.65
	Inland Eastern	0.43	0.26	0.80	0.87
	Inland Southern	0.66	0.28	0.31	0.31
	Inland Northern	1.19	1.25	1.93	2.16
Kerala	Northern	0.72	0.58	1.20	0.95
	Southern	0.31	0.18	0.45	0.36
Madhya Pradesh	Vindhya	1.43	1.85	1.10	1.81
	Central	1.54	1.30	1.43	1.35
	Malwa	1.01	0.52	1.11	0.22
	South	1.54	1.70	1.54	1.57
	South-Western	1.27	1.75	1.52	1.32
	Northern	0.96	0.65	1.73	1.29
Maharashtra	Coastal	1.05	0.82	0.31	0.24
	Inland Western	0.65	0.51	1.10	0.80
	Inland Northern	1.31	0.92	1.75	1.67
	Inland Central	1.48	0.90	2.35	2.12
	Inland Eastern	1.30	1.14	1.61	1.55
	Eastern	1.51	1.66	1.22	2.10
Manipur	Plains	0.59	1.31	1.30	2.17
	Hills	1.35	1.55	2.00	4.00
Meghalaya	Entire state 0.33		0.46	0.96	1.15
Mizoram	Entire state	0.55	0.93	0.30	0.55

Table 2: Pove	erty Risks for Comparable NSS Re	egions of India:	2004-05 an	nd 2009-10		
State/Union Territory	NSS region	Ru	Rural		Urban	
•		2004-05	2009-10	2004-05	2009-10	
Nagaland	Entire state	0.24	0.57	0.17	1.20	
Odisha	Coastal	1.07	0.76	1.44	0.87	
	Southern	1.93	1.57	1.81	1.78	
	Northern	1.71	1.25	1.41	1.40	
Punjab	Northern	0.37	0.51	0.63	0.91	
	Southern	0.71	0.37	0.94	0.82	
Rajasthan	Western + North-Eastern	1.07	0.86	0.76	0.55	
	Southern	1.32	1.01	0.80	0.90	
	South-Eastern	0.71	0.76	0.93	0.91	
Sikkim	Entire state	0.75	0.45	1.00	0.21	
Tamil Nadu	Coastal-Northern	1.09	0.80	0.62	0.45	
	Coastal	0.63	0.27	0.61	0.63	
	Southern	0.90	0.47	1.09	0.83	
	Inland	0.92	0.91	0.81	0.65	
Tripura	Entire state	1.06	0.59	0.87	0.46	
Uttar Pradesh	Western + Central	1.03	1.17	1.40	1.46	
	Eastern	1.24	1.33	1.61	1.94	
	Southern	1.07	1.38	1.88	1.52	
Uttarakhand	Entire state	0.84	0.41	1.02	1.20	
West Bengal	Himalayan	0.66	0.57	1.26	1.18	
	Eastern Plains	1.34	0.80	1.74	1.28	
	Central Plains	0.73	0.92	0.79	0.83	
	Western Plains	0.88	0.89	1.05	1.06	
Andaman & Nicobar Is	Entire union territory	0.07	0.00	0.02	0.00	
Chandigarh	Entire union territory	0.75	0.31	0.39	0.43	
Dadra & Nagar Haveli			1.00	0.57	1.56	
aman & Diu Entire union territory		1.52	1.67	0.60	0.88	
Lakshadweep	akshadweep Entire union territory		0.50	0.42	0.00	
Puducherry	Entire union territory	0.55	0.00	0.38	0.08	
Regions with poverty ri	33	26	35	36		
Regions where poverty		28		38		
		•				

Note: NSS indicates National Sample Survey. The NSS regions are as in Table 1. Poverty risk is the ratio of poverty share to population share. Relatively higher poverty share would be indicated through a poverty risk of greater than unity, which is indicated in bold. An increase in poverty risk between the two periods is indicated by shading the latter period's cell for the region.

Source: Author's calculation based on unit level data.

Appen	dix 1: Composition o	of Districts [sub-districts] in NSS regions as of 2004-05
State	NSS Region	Districts [sub-districts] composition
Andhra Pradesh	Coastal	East Godavari, Guntur, Krishna, Nellore, Prakasam,
		Srikakulam, Vizianagaram, Visakhapatnam, and West
		Godavari
	Inland Northern	Adilabad, Hyderabad, Karimnagar, Khammam, Mahbubnagar,
	(Telengana)	Medak, Nalgonda, Nizamabad, Rangareddi, and Warangal
	South Western +	Anantapur, and Kurnool plus Chittoor and Cuddapah
	Inland Southern	
Assam	Plains Eastern +	Cachar, Dhemaji, Dibrugarh, Golaghat, Hailakandi, Jorhat,
	Hills	Karimganj, Lakhimpur, Sibsagar, and Tinsukia plus Karbi
		Anglong and North Cachar Hills
	Western Plains	Barpeta, Bongaigaon, Darrang, Dhubri, Goalpara, Kamrup,
		Kokrajhar, Marigaon, Nalbari, Nagaon, Sonitpur
Bihar	Northern	Araria, Champaran(East), Champaran(West), Darbhanga,
		Gopalganj, Katihar, Kishanganj, Madhepura, Madhubani,
		Muzaffarpur, Purnia, Saharsa, Samastipur, Saran, Sheohar,
	Control	Sitamarhi, Siwan, Supaul, and Vaishali
	Central	Aurangabad, Banka, Begusarai, Bhagalpur, Bhojpur, Buxar,
		Gaya, Jamui, Jehanabad, Kaimur (Bhabua), Khagaria, Lakhisarai, Munger, Nalanda, Nawada, Patna, Rohtas, and
		Sheikhpura
Gujarat	Eastern	Bharuch [Anklesvar, Jhagadia, Valia], Dohad, Narmada,
Gujarat	Lastern	Navsari [Bansda, Chikhli], Panch Mahals [Kadana,
		Santrampur], Sabar Kantha [Bhiloda, Khedbarhma, Meghraj,
		Vijaynagar], Surat [Bardoli, Mahuva, Mandvi, Mangrol,
		Nizar, Palsana, Songadh, Uchchhal, Umarpada, Valod, Vyara],
		The Dangs, Vadodara [Chhota Udaipur, Jetpur Pavi, Kavant,
		Nasvadi], and Valsad
	Plains Northern	Ahmedabad, Anand, Gandhinagar, Kheda, Mahesana, Patan
		[Patan, Siddhpur, Vagdod], and Sabar Kantha [Bayad,
		Dhansura, Himatnagar, Idar, Malpur, Modasa, Prantij, Talod,
		Vadali]
	Plains Southern	Bharuch [Amod, Bharuch, Hansot, Jambusar, Vagra,], Navsari
		[Gandevi, Jalalpur, Navsari], Panch Mahals [Ghoghamba,
		Godhra, Halol, Jambughoda, Kalol, Khanpur, Lunawada,
		Morwa(hadaf), Sehera], Surat [Chorasi, Kamrej, Olpad, Surat
		City], and Vadodara [Dabhoi, Karjan, Padra, Sankheda, Savli, Sinor, Vadodara, Vaghodia]
		<u> </u>
	Dry areas	Bans Kantha, Kachchh, Patan [Chanasma, Harij, Radhanpur,
	Co lui	Sami, Santalpur], and Surendranagar
	Saurashtra	Amreli, Bhavnagar, Jamnagar, Junagadh, Porbandar, and Rajkot
Haryana	Eastern	Ambala, Faridabad, Gurgaon, Jhajjar, Kaithal, Karnal,
		Kurukshetra, Panchkula, Panipat, Rohtak, Sonipat, and
		Yamunanagar
	Western	Bhiwani, Fatehabad, Hisar, Jind, Mahendragarh, Rewari and
		Sirsa
		continued

Appendix 1: Composition of Districts [sub-districts] in NSS regions as of 2004-05			
State	NSS Region	Districts [sub-districts] composition	
Jammu &	Mountainous	Jammu and Kathua	
Kashmir	Outer Hills	Doda, Punch, Rajauri, and Udhampur	
(excludes Kargil	Jhelum Valley	Anantnag, Badgam, Baramula, Kupwara, Pulwama, and	
& Leh/Ladhak)	,	Srinagar	
Karnataka	Coastal and Ghats	Dakshina Kannada, Udupi, and Uttara Kannada	
	Inland Eastern	Chikmagalur, Hassan, Kodagu, and Shimoga	
	Inland Southern	Bangalore, Bangalore (Rural), Chamarajanagar, Kolar,	
		Mandya, Mysore, and Tumkur	
	Inland Northern	Bagalkot, Belgaum, Bellary, Bidar, Bijapur, Chitradurga, Davanagere, Dharwad, Gadag, Gulbarga, Haveri, Koppal, and Raichur	
Kerala	Northern	Malappuram, Palakkad, Kannur, Kasaragod, Kozhikode, and Wayanad	
	Southern	Alappuzha, Ernakulam, Idukki, Kollam, Kottayam,	
		Pathanamthitta, Thiruvananthapuram, and Thrissur	
Madhya	Vindhya	Chhatarpur, Panna, Rewa, Satna, Shahdol, Sidhi, Tikamgarh,	
Pradesh		and Umaria	
	Central	Bhopal, Damoh, Raisen, Sagar, Sehore, and Vidisha	
	Malwa	Dewas, Dhar, Indore, Jhabua, Mandsaur, Neemuch, Rajgarh, Ratlam, Shajapur, and Ujjain	
	South	Balaghat, Chhindwara, Dindori, Jabalpur, Katni, Mandla, Narsimhapur, and Seoni	
	South-Western	Barwani, Betul, East Nimar (Khandwa), Harda, Hoshangabad, and West Nimar (Khargoan)	
	Northern	Bhind, Datia, Guna, Gwalior, Morena, Sheopur, and Shivpuri	
Maharashtra	Coastal	Mumbai, Raigarh, Ratnagiri, Sindhudurg, Suburban Mumbai, and Thane	
	Inland Western	Ahmadnagar, Kolhapur, Pune, Sangli, Satara, and Solapur	
	Inland Northern	Dhule, Jalgaon, Nandurbar, and Nashik	
	Inland Central	Aurangabad, Bid, Hingoli, Jalna, Latur, Nanded, Osmanabad, and Parbhani	
	Inland Eastern	Akola, Amravati, Buldana, Nagpur, Wardha, Washim, and Yavatmal	
	Eastern	Bhandara, Chandrapur, Gadchiroli, and Gondiya	
Manipur	Plains	Bishnupur, Imphal East, Imphal West, and Thoubal	
	Hills	Chandel, Churachandpur, Senapati, Tamenglong, and Ukhrul	
Odisha	Coastal	Baleshwar, Bhadrak, Cuttack, Gajapati, Ganjam,	
		Jagatsinghapur, Jajapur, Kendrapara, Khordha, Nayagarh, and Puri	
	Southern	Baudh, Kalahandi, Kandhamal (Phoolbani), Koraput, Malkangiri, Nabarangapur, Nuapada, and Rayagada	
	Northern	Anugul, Balangir, Bargarh, Debagarh, Dhenkanal, Jharsuguda, Kendujhar, Mayurbhanj, Sambalpur, Sonapur, and Sundargarh	
Punjab	Northern	Amritsar, Gurdaspur, Hoshiarpur, Jalandhar, Kapurthala,	
		Ludhiana, Nawanshahr, and Rupnagar continued	
		Continued	

Appendix 1: Composition of Districts [sub-districts] in NSS regions as of 2004-05			
State	NSS Region	Districts [sub-districts] composition	
Punjab	Southern	Bathinda, Faridkot, Fatehgarh Sahib, Firozpur, Mansa, Moga,	
(continued)		Muktsar, Patiala, and Sangrur	
Rajasthan	Western + North- Eastern	Barmer, Bikaner, Churu, Ganganagar, Hanumangarh, Jaisalmer, Jalor, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Pali, and Sirohi plus Ajmer, Alwar, Bharatpur, Bhilwara, Dausa, Dhaulpur, Jaipur, Jhunjhunun, Karauli, Sawai Madhopur, Sikar, and Tonk	
	Southern	Banswara, Dungarpur, Rajsamand, and Udaipur	
	South-Eastern	Baran, Bundi, Chittaurgarh, Jhalawar, and Kota	
Tamil Nadu	Coastal-Northern	Chennai, Cuddalore, Kancheepuram, Thiruvallur, Tiruvanamalai, Vellore, and Viluppuram	
	Coastal	Ariyalur, Karur, Nagapattinam, Perambalur, Pudukkottai, Thanjavur, Thiruvarur, and Tiruchirappalli	
	Southern	Dindigul, Kanniyakumari, Madurai, Ramanathapuram, Sivaganga, Theni, Tirunelveli, Toothukudi, and Virudhunagar	
	Inland	Coimbatore, Dharmapuri, Erode, Namakkal, Salem, and The Nilgiris	
Uttar Pradesh	Western + Central	Agra, Aligarh, Auraiya, Baghpat, Bareilly, Bijnor, Budaun, Bulandshahr, Etah, Etawah, Farrukhabad, Firozabad, G. Buddha Nagar, Ghaziabad, Hathras, J Phule Nagar, Kannauj, Mainpuri, Mathura, Meerut, Moradabad, Muzaffarnagar, Pilibhit, Rampur, , Saharanpur, and Shahjahanpur plus Barabanki, Fatehpur, Hardoi, Kanpur Dehat, Kanpur Nagar, Kheri, Lucknow, Rae Bareli, Sitapur, and Unnao	
	Eastern	Allahabad, Ambedkar Nagar, Azamgarh, Bahraich, Ballia, Balrampur, Basti, Chandauli, Deoria, Faizabad, Ghazipur, Gonda, , Gorakhpur, Jaunpur, Kaushambi, Kushinagar, Maharajganj, Mau, Mirzapur, Pratapgarh, Sant Kabir Nagar, S.R.Nagar(Bhadohi), Shrawasti, Siddharthnagar, Sonbhadra, Sultanpur, and Varanasi	
	Southern	Banda, Chitrakoot, Hamirpur, Jalaun, Jhansi, Lalitpur, and Mahoba	
West Bengal	Himalayan	Darjiling, Jalpaiguri, and Koch Bihar	
	Eastern Plains	Birbhum, Dakshin Dinajpur, Maldah, Murshidabad, Nadia, and Uttar Dinajpur	
	Central Plains	Barddhaman, Howrah, Hugli, Kolkata, North 24-Parganas, and South 24-Parganas	
	Western Plains	Bankura, Medinipur, and Puruliya	

Note: NSS indicates National Sample Survey. The composition of districts does not include 18 NSS regions that coincide with the entire state/union territory (see column 2 of Table 1).

Source: Appendix-II: List of NSS Regions and their Composition, *Instructions to Field Staff Vol-I: NSS 61*st *Round*.

References

- Alagh, Y.K. (2014a). Posture-nomics. Indian Express, 7 May 2014.
- Alagh, Y.K. (2014b). Models and realities. Indian Express, 29 May 2014.
- Government of India (2009). <u>Report of the Expert Group to Review the Methodology for Estimation of Poverty</u>, Planning Commission, New Delhi (Chairperson: Suresh D. Tendulkar).
- Government of India (2012). <u>Press Note on Poverty Estimates, 2009-10</u>. Planning Commission, New Delhi.
- India Meteorological Department (2014). <u>Long Range Forecast Update for 2014 Southwest Monsoon</u>

 <u>Rainfall</u>. Press Release, Earth System Science Organization (ESSO), Ministry of Earth Sciences (MoES), 9 June 2014.
- Mishra, S. (2014). Decomposing Poverty Change: Deciphering Change in Total Population and Beyond, *Review of Income and Wealth*, forthcoming.
- Panagariya A, Mukim M. (2014). <u>A Comprehensive Analysis of Poverty in India</u>. *Asian Development Review*, 31 (1): 1-52.
- Pathak DC, Mishra S. (2011). <u>Poverty Estimates in India: Old and New Methods, 2004-05</u>. Working Paper No. WP-2011-015. Indira Gandhi Institute of Development Research, Mumbai.
- Pathak DC, Mishra S. (2013). Poverty in India and Its Decompositions: A Critical Appraisal of the New Method, in S. Mahendra Dev (ed.) *India Development Report 2012-13*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, pp.209-223 and 414-417, see <u>pre-print version</u>.